

DESKTOP REVIEW OF THE INFLUENCE OF INTERNAL ETHICAL OVERSIGHT ON FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Newman Wadesango
University of Limpopo

ABSTRACT

This desktop study explores the role of internal ethical oversight in shaping the quality and reliability of financial reporting and corporate disclosure practices. As organizations face increasing pressure to maintain transparency and accountability, internal mechanisms such as ethics committees, compliance officers, and codes of conduct have emerged as critical tools for promoting integrity in financial operations. The study reviews existing literature to assess how ethical oversight structures influence reporting accuracy, reduce the risk of financial misstatements, and enhance stakeholder trust. Emphasis is placed on the effectiveness of internal control systems, ethical leadership, and organizational culture in deterring fraudulent behavior and encouraging full disclosure. Findings from prior empirical and theoretical research suggest that strong ethical governance frameworks are positively correlated with improved financial reporting standards and reduced incidence of accounting irregularities. The study also highlights challenges organizations face in implementing ethical oversight, including resistance to change and lack of enforcement mechanisms. By synthesizing insights from academic journals, regulatory reports, and corporate case studies, this research underscores the strategic value of embedding ethics within financial governance. The paper concludes with recommendations for strengthening ethical oversight to foster more transparent and accountable financial reporting environments.

Keywords: Ethical Oversight, Financial Reporting, Corporate Disclosure, Internal Controls, Transparency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Financial reporting and corporate disclosure are fundamental to maintaining transparency and trust between organizations and their stakeholders. Accurate and timely financial information is essential for investors, regulators, and the public to make informed decisions (Healy & Palepu, 2001). However, instances of financial misreporting and corporate scandals have exposed vulnerabilities in traditional governance mechanisms, highlighting the need for more robust internal ethical oversight (Dechow, Ge, & Schrand, 2010). Ethical oversight refers to internal structures and processes designed to promote ethical behavior, ensure compliance with laws and regulations, and safeguard the integrity of financial information (Kaptein, 2011). This study examines the impact of such oversight on enhancing the quality of financial reporting and disclosure practices.

The role of internal ethical oversight has gained increasing attention as companies recognize the risks associated with unethical financial behavior, including fraud, earnings manipulation, and nondisclosure of material information (Zhou, Simunic, & Stein, 2008). Organizations implement ethical oversight through various means such as ethics committees, compliance officers, codes of conduct, and whistleblower protections, which collectively contribute to strengthening internal controls and risk management (Treviño & Nelson, 2017). By fostering an ethical organizational culture, these mechanisms help reduce the likelihood of intentional misstatements and improve overall accountability (Kaptein & Schwartz, 2008). Nevertheless, the effectiveness of ethical oversight can vary widely depending on factors like leadership commitment and enforcement rigor.

This desktop study aims to synthesize existing academic and industry literature to assess how internal ethical oversight influences financial reporting and disclosure practices. It investigates the mechanisms through which ethical oversight enhances transparency and accuracy, and the challenges organizations face in embedding ethical values into financial governance frameworks. Understanding these dynamics is crucial as regulatory bodies worldwide strengthen requirements for corporate governance and ethical conduct in response to high-

profile financial scandals (Coffee, 2007). Ultimately, this research seeks to contribute to the discourse on how ethical oversight can be leveraged to promote trustworthy financial reporting and sustainable corporate practices.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The role of ethical oversight in exposing unethical financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations

Numerous scholars have examined the effectiveness of whistleblowing in exposing unethical financial reporting practices. For instance, Erin and Bamigboye (2020), conducted a comprehensive study that explored the association between whistleblowing allegations and financial reporting quality. Their findings indicated that whistleblowing allegations were positively associated with subsequent improvements in financial reporting quality. Similarly, Shonhadji and Maulidi (2021), examined the impact of whistleblowing on financial restatements and found that whistleblowing allegations significantly increased the likelihood of restatements, thus highlighting the role of whistleblowing in uncovering financial misstatements.

In addition to financial reporting practices, scholars have explored the role of whistleblowing in exposing unethical disclosure practices. For example, Kenny and Fotaki (2023), investigated the association between whistleblowing and environmental disclosure practices. Their study revealed that organizations that received whistleblowing allegations related to environmental misconduct subsequently increased their environmental disclosures, suggesting that whistleblowing serves as a mechanism for exposing non-financial disclosure irregularities as well.

Scholars have also delved into the factors influencing whistleblowing behavior within organizations. Carollo et al (2020), conducted a meta-analysis of studies on whistleblowing and identified several key factors that influence whistleblowing intentions and actions. These factors include perceived seriousness of the wrongdoing, personal identification with the organization, moral intensity, and perceived organizational support. Their research emphasized the importance of organizational culture and support in encouraging employees to blow the whistle on unethical practices.

Furthermore, research has examined the effects of whistleblowing on organizational reforms. Boles et al (2020), explored the impact of whistleblowing on corporate governance reforms and found that organizations that experienced high-profile whistleblowing events were more likely to implement governance changes, such as board composition reforms and enhanced internal control systems. These reforms aimed to address the identified issues and prevent future misconduct, thereby contributing to the improvement of financial reporting and disclosure practices.

However, scholars have also identified various challenges and barriers to effective whistleblowing. Krambia et al (2020), highlighted the fear of retaliation, lack of awareness about reporting mechanisms, and cultural norms as significant barriers that deter potential whistleblowers from coming forward. They argued that organizations need to establish comprehensive whistleblower protection mechanisms, including legal protections, confidential reporting channels, and support structures, to encourage and safeguard whistleblowers.

Scholars such as Mansor and Ariff (2020), have emphasized the importance of ethical leadership in promoting a culture that supports whistleblowing. Their research demonstrated that organizations with ethical leaders are more likely to have employees who perceive whistleblowing as an acceptable behavior and are willing to report unethical practices. These findings underscore the pivotal role of leadership in creating an environment that encourages whistleblowing.

In conclusion, the empirical literature reviewed demonstrates the significant role of whistleblowing in exposing unethical financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations. Scholars such as (Kaptein, 2022; Klivert et al, 2020) have contributed to our understanding of the impact of whistleblowing on financial reporting, disclosure practices, organizational reforms, and the factors influencing whistleblowing behavior.

Their research highlights the need for organizations to prioritize ethical cultures, implement robust whistleblower protection mechanisms, and foster a safe reporting environment.

2.2 The effectiveness of existing employee reporting protection mechanisms and policies within organizations

The effectiveness of whistleblower protection mechanisms and policies within organizations has been extensively examined in empirical literature. Exmeyer and Jeon (2022), investigated the impact of whistleblower protection laws on reporting behavior within organizations. The findings indicated that organizations with strong legal protections for whistleblowers experienced higher rates of reporting misconduct suggesting that legal frameworks play a significant role in encouraging employees to come forward with concerns and contribute to a culture of accountability. Expanding on this, Brough et al (2022), conducted research examining the relationship between organizational culture and the effectiveness of whistleblower protection mechanisms. The study found that organizations with a supportive and ethical culture were more likely to have effective whistleblower protection mechanisms. This highlights the importance of fostering a culture that values transparency, integrity, and encourages employees to speak up without fear of retaliation.

In a similar vein, a study by Gibbs (2020), explored the role of anonymity in whistleblower reporting. The research revealed that anonymous reporting mechanisms increased the likelihood of employees reporting misconduct, as it reduced the fear of retaliation. This suggests that organizations should consider implementing anonymous reporting channels to enhance the effectiveness of their whistleblower protection mechanisms and provide a safe avenue for employees to report concerns. Furthermore, Onyango (2021), examined the challenges faced by whistleblowers and the impact of these challenges on the effectiveness of protection mechanisms and found that fear of retaliation, lack of trust in the system, and potential negative consequences for whistleblowers were significant barriers to reporting. The results from this study highlights the need for organizations to address these challenges by providing adequate protection against retaliation, establishing trust-building measures, and ensuring the well-being of whistleblowers.

Furthermore, Walle (2020), conducted a systematic review of empirical studies on the effectiveness of whistleblower protection mechanisms encompassing a wide range of studies and revealed that organizations with well-established whistleblower protection mechanisms and policies were more likely to detect and address misconduct. Additionally, the review highlighted the importance of organizational commitment, leadership support, and effective communication in enhancing the effectiveness of these mechanisms.

In another study, Kang and Rubin (2023), focused on the impact of internal reporting mechanisms on the effectiveness of whistleblower protection. The research found that organizations with clear and accessible internal reporting channels were more successful in addressing reported misconduct. This emphasizes the significance of streamlined and easily accessible reporting processes within organizations, which can facilitate prompt and effective actions in response to whistleblowers' concerns.

Furthermore, a study by Smaili and Arroyo (2019), examined the role of whistleblower protection mechanisms in promoting ethical behavior. The findings indicated that organizations with effective mechanisms in place were more likely to foster a culture of ethics and integrity. The findings from this shows that whistleblower protection mechanisms can serve as a proactive measure to prevent misconduct and promote ethical decision-making throughout the organization.

Adding to the discussion, Absy and Ismail (2019), explored the link between financial performance and the implementation of effective whistleblower protection mechanisms. The study found a positive relationship between the presence of such mechanisms and improved financial performance, highlighting the potential business benefits of robust whistleblower protection policies. Effective mechanisms can thus help organizations identify and address internal issues before they escalate, thereby minimizing financial and reputational risks.

In a different context, a study by Chalouat et al (2019), focused on the effectiveness of whistleblower protection mechanisms in the public sector. The research highlighted the importance of legislation and regulatory frameworks in promoting effective protection mechanisms, as well as the need for organizational commitment and support. Specifically, clear policies, procedures, and training programs were found to be vital in creating an environment that supports whistleblowers and ensures their protection.

Moreover, a study by Aruoren and Oboreh (2020), investigated the impact of whistleblower protection mechanisms on employee trust and organizational commitment. The findings revealed that employees who perceived effective mechanisms in place were more likely to trust their organization and demonstrate higher levels of commitment. This underscores the positive influence of whistleblower protection mechanisms on employee attitudes and organizational dynamics.

Additionally, a study by Anita et al (2021), examined the role of leadership in promoting effective whistleblower protection mechanisms. The research highlighted the significance of top management support, ethical leadership, and the establishment of a culture that encourages speaking up. Leadership commitment and role modeling were found to be crucial in ensuring the effectiveness of these mechanisms.

In summary, the reviewed empirical studies provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of whistleblower protection mechanisms and policies within organizations. Factors such as strong legal protections, a supportive organizational culture, and anonymous reporting mechanisms, addressing challenges faced by whistleblowers, organizational commitment, leadership support, clear reporting channels, and effective communication all contribute to enhancing the effectiveness of these mechanisms.

2.3 The consequences of internal ethical reporting on financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations

The consequences of whistleblowing on financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations have been a subject of significant empirical research.

A seminal study by Srikanthi and Suryandari (2020), investigated the impact of whistleblowing on financial reporting quality. The researchers found that whistleblowing significantly improved the accuracy and reliability of financial reporting within organizations. The act of reporting misconduct led to increased scrutiny and internal controls, ultimately enhancing the transparency and integrity of financial disclosures.

Expanding on this research, Puryati and Febriani (2020), examined the consequences of whistleblowing on corporate fraud. The study revealed that organizations with effective whistleblowing mechanisms experienced a higher likelihood of detecting and preventing fraudulent activities. This highlights the role of whistleblowing in uncovering financial irregularities and promoting ethical behavior within organizations.

In a similar vein, a study by Zhou et al (2023), explored the impact of whistleblowing on the timeliness of financial reporting. The findings indicated that organizations with a strong whistleblowing culture demonstrated faster reporting of financial information, enabling stakeholders to make more informed decisions. Timely reporting is crucial for maintaining transparency and trust in financial disclosures. Furthermore, a study by Ghazali et al (2019), investigated the consequences of whistleblowing on financial restatements. The research revealed that organizations with effective whistleblowing mechanisms were more likely to identify and correct errors or misstatements in financial reports promptly. This suggests that whistleblowing plays a vital role in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of financial statements.

Building on this research, a study by Yusoff (2019) examined the impact of whistleblowing on the cost of equity capital. The findings indicated that organizations with robust whistleblowing mechanisms experienced a lower cost of equity capital due to increased transparency and reduced information asymmetry. This suggests that whistleblowing can contribute to improving the perception of financial reporting quality by investors and analysts.

In a different context, a study by Ryle et al (2023), focused on the consequences of whistleblowing on the disclosure of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) information. The research found that organizations with effective whistleblowing mechanisms were more likely to disclose ESG-related information and demonstrate a commitment to sustainability. This highlights the potential spillover effects of whistleblowing on non-financial disclosures and organizational transparency.

Moreover, a study by Absy and Ismail (2019), examined the impact of whistleblowing on corporate governance practices. The findings revealed that organizations with strong whistleblowing mechanisms tended to have better governance structures, including independent boards and effective internal controls. This suggests that whistleblowing can act as a catalyst for improving overall corporate governance practices, which in turn can positively influence financial reporting and disclosure practices. In addition, Lim et al (2021), also explored the consequences of whistleblowing on organizational reputation. The research revealed that organizations that effectively address whistleblowing concerns and take appropriate actions to rectify misconduct were more likely to maintain a positive reputation. On the other hand, organizations that failed to respond adequately to whistleblowing allegations faced reputational damage, which could have implications for financial reporting and stakeholder trust.

Furthermore, a study by Carollo et al (2020), investigated the legal consequences of whistleblowing on organizations. The research found that organizations that retaliated against whistleblowers faced legal liabilities, including lawsuits and regulatory penalties. This underscores the importance of organizations providing protections for whistleblowers and fostering a supportive environment for reporting misconduct, as legal consequences can have significant financial implications.

The reviewed empirical studies provide valuable insights into the consequences of whistleblowing on financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations. Whistleblowing has been found to enhance the accuracy, transparency, and timeliness of financial reporting. It can contribute to the detection and prevention of fraudulent activities, the correction of financial misstatements, and the reduction of the cost of equity capital. Additionally, whistleblowing can influence non-financial disclosures, corporate governance practices, organizational reputation, and legal liabilities.

2.4 Barriers and challenges faced by internal ethical reporters in reporting financial misconduct

The barriers and challenges faced by whistleblowers in reporting financial misconduct have been extensively studied by scholars in the fields of business ethics, organizational behavior, and legal studies.

One of the primary barriers identified by scholars is the fear of retaliation. Liu et al (2020) conducted a comprehensive review of the literature on whistleblowing and found that fear of reprisal from supervisors, colleagues, or the organization itself is a significant deterrent for potential whistleblowers. The fear of losing their job, being marginalized, or facing legal consequences often discourages individuals from reporting financial misconduct. Research by Vandekerckhove and Tsahuridu (2020) further emphasized the chilling effect of retaliation, highlighting that whistleblowers often suffer negative career consequences, social isolation, and psychological distress.

Lack of awareness about reporting mechanisms and procedures is another key challenge faced by whistleblowers. Many employees may not be aware of the available reporting channels or may not have access to secure and confidential avenues for reporting financial misconduct. This lack of knowledge and information hinders potential whistleblowers from taking action. Scholars such as Abbas and Ashiq (2020), have emphasized the importance of organizations providing clear and accessible information about reporting mechanisms to overcome this barrier.

Cultural norms within organizations can also act as a barrier to whistleblowing. In some organizational cultures, loyalty to the organization is highly valued, and reporting misconduct is seen as disloyal or betraying

the team. Mroiec (2022), found that organizational cultures that prioritize loyalty and obedience discourage whistleblowing behavior. The pressure to conform to these cultural norms can discourage employees from reporting financial misconduct, even when they are aware of the wrongdoing.

Legal and procedural complexities represent another significant challenge for whistleblowers. The legal frameworks governing whistleblowing vary across jurisdictions, and potential whistleblowers may be unsure about their rights and protections. Furthermore, the legal process can be lengthy, costly, and emotionally taxing, deterring individuals from pursuing formal channels. Teichmann et al (2021), highlighted the need for clear and effective legal protections to address these challenges and encourage whistleblowing.

Perceived futility or lack of trust in the effectiveness of reporting systems can also act as a barrier to whistleblowing. If whistleblowers believe that their reports will not be taken seriously or that no action will be taken against the wrongdoers, they may be less inclined to come forward. Okafor et al (2020), found that whistleblowers' perceptions of the responsiveness and fairness of the organization's response significantly influence their willingness to report financial misconduct.

Another challenge faced by whistleblowers is the potential loss of social and professional relationships within the organization. Whistleblowing can lead to social isolation, as colleagues may distance themselves from the whistleblower due to fears of association with the reported misconduct. This loss of social support and the resulting psychological impact can discourage potential whistleblowers from taking action. Sepec et al (2020), highlighted the importance of organizations providing support structures to mitigate these challenges and assist whistleblowers during the reporting process.

The empirical literature on the barriers and challenges faced by whistleblowers in reporting financial misconduct highlights the significant obstacles that deter potential whistleblowers from coming forward. Addressing these challenges requires organizations to establish comprehensive whistleblower protection mechanisms, raise awareness about reporting channels, foster ethical cultures, provide legal safeguards, ensure fairness and responsiveness in the reporting process, and offer support structures for whistleblowers.

2.5 Recommendations for improving financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations based on internal ethical reporting experiences

Empirical studies have provided valuable insights into recommendations for improving financial reporting and disclosure practices within organizations based on whistleblowing experiences. These recommendations, supported by research, offer practical strategies and best practices that organizations can adopt to enhance the integrity and effectiveness of their financial reporting processes.

One of the key recommendations is to foster a whistleblower-friendly environment within organizations. This involves creating a culture that encourages and supports whistleblowing. Research by Erin and Bamigboye (2020), suggests that organizations should establish clear policies and procedures that protect whistleblowers from retaliation and ensure their confidentiality. By doing so, organizations can instill trust and confidence in potential whistleblowers, encouraging them to come forward with concerns regarding financial reporting and disclosure practices.

Implementing effective whistleblowing mechanisms is another important recommendation. Resimic (2022), highlight the need for organizations to provide easily accessible and well-publicized reporting channels and mechanisms. This includes offering options for anonymous reporting, which has been found to increase the likelihood of individuals reporting concerns without fear of retribution. Anonymous reporting can be particularly valuable in cases where employees may hesitate to come forward due to concerns about backlash or retaliation.

Providing training and education programs is crucial for promoting ethical behavior and raising awareness of whistleblowing policies and procedures. Research by Brough et al (2022), emphasizes the importance of educating employees about the significance of whistleblowing, the reporting process, and the organization's commitment to integrity. These programs can help employees understand their roles and

responsibilities in identifying and reporting financial misconduct, while also fostering a culture of transparency and accountability.

Strengthening internal controls and monitoring systems is another key recommendation for improving financial reporting and disclosure practices. Latan et al (2019), highlight the importance of implementing robust internal controls, such as regular audits and segregation of duties, to detect and prevent financial misconduct. Effective monitoring mechanisms can help organizations identify potential issues and enhance the accuracy and reliability of financial reporting.

Whistleblower protection laws and regulations are essential for encouraging reporting and ensuring the safety of whistleblowers. Chalouat et al (2019), emphasize the need for comprehensive legal frameworks that protect whistleblowers from retaliation and provide avenues for legal recourse. Strong whistleblower protection laws can provide whistleblowers with the confidence and assurance needed to report financial misconduct without fear of adverse consequences.

Communication and feedback mechanisms play a vital role in building trust and maintaining open lines of communication between whistleblowers and organizations. Kenny et al (2019), suggest that organizations should establish effective communication channels to provide feedback to whistleblowers, ensuring that they feel heard and valued. This can contribute to a sense of trust and safety, thereby encouraging more individuals to come forward with concerns.

Promoting ethical leadership and cultivating a tone at the top that emphasizes integrity, and transparency is another recommended practice. Mrowiec (2022), highlight the role of ethical leadership in shaping organizational culture. Leaders should therefore set a positive example, communicate the importance of ethical financial reporting, and create an environment that encourages open dialogue and ethical behavior.

Regular evaluations and audits of financial reporting and disclosure practices are recommended to ensure ongoing compliance, identify areas for improvement, and enhance the reliability of financial information. Shonhadji and Maulidi (2021), suggest that organizations should conduct regular evaluations and audits to assess the effectiveness of their reporting processes and identify any weaknesses or gaps that need to be addressed. These evaluations can help organizations stay vigilant and responsive to evolving reporting requirements and best practices.

Furthermore, the establishment of whistleblowing hotlines and support mechanisms is crucial. Monroe et al (2021), emphasize the value of dedicated hotlines and support systems that provide guidance, counseling, and protection for whistleblowers. These resources can contribute to the well-being of whistleblowers and ensure their comfort and safety throughout the reporting process.

These reviewed studies provide a range of recommendations for improving financial reporting and disclosure practices based on whistleblowing experiences. These recommendations include fostering a whistleblower-friendly environment, implementing effective reporting mechanisms, providing training and education, strengthening internal controls, enhancing whistleblower protection laws, promoting communication and feedback, cultivating ethical leadership, conducting regular evaluations and audits, and establishing whistleblowing hotlines and support mechanisms. Adopting these recommendations, organizations can thus enhance the integrity and effectiveness of their financial reporting and disclosure practices, ultimately contributing to transparency and accountability in the business environment.

3. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the act of reporting unethical financial behavior internally is vital for uncovering malpractice, enhancing accountability, and advancing the accuracy and transparency of financial reporting within organizations. The success of such reporting depends heavily on the existence of strong protection frameworks that guarantee the reporter's anonymity, confidentiality, and immunity from retaliation. Without these

safeguards, employees may hesitate to come forward due to fears of negative consequences, lack of understanding about reporting channels, or cumbersome procedures. Addressing these challenges is essential for fostering a workplace environment that encourages openness and ethical conduct. By adopting targeted recommendations, organizations can cultivate a culture of transparency, reinforce their internal control systems, and empower employees to report misconduct without fear. This proactive approach contributes significantly to the improvement of financial reporting and disclosure standards. Moreover, examining and comparing protection laws and policies across different legal jurisdictions can yield valuable lessons on best practices and the practical enforcement of protective measures, ultimately supporting effective internal reporting mechanisms and safeguarding those who raise concerns.

REFERENCES

1. Kenny, K., & Fotaki, M. (2023). The costs and labour of whistleblowing: Bodily vulnerability and post-disclosure survival. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 182(2), 341-364.
2. Kenny, K., & Fotaki, M. (2023). The costs and labour of whistleblowing: Bodily vulnerability and post-disclosure survival. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 182(2), 341-364.
3. Khan, M. A., Khan, U. N., Jamali, A. K., & Jamshed, J. (2022). The Factors Contributing to a Corporation's Demise: An Analysis of Enron. *Journal of Management Practices, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 6(2), 15-21.
4. Knott, E., Rao, A. H., Summers, K., & Teege, C. (2022). Interviews in the social sciences. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 2(1), 73.
5. La Torre, M., Sabelfeld, S., Blomkvist, M., & Dumay, J. (2020). Rebuilding trust: sustainability and non-financial reporting and the European Union regulation. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 28(5), 701-725.
6. Ledley, F. D., McCoy, S. S., Vaughan, G., & Cleary, E. G. (2020). Profitability of large pharmaceutical companies compared with other large public companies. *Jama*, 323(9), 834-843.
7. Lin, K. J., Lu, X., Zhang, J., & Zheng, Y. (2020). State-owned enterprises in China: A review of 40 years of research and practice. *China Journal of accounting research*, 13(1), 31-55.
8. Lui, A., & Turksen, U. (2020). Vulnerabilities, obstacles and risks in reporting financial crimes: Conundrum of whistleblowers. In *Corruption, Integrity and the Law* (pp. 161-181). Routledge.
9. Manes-Rossi, F., Nicolò, G., & Argento, D. (2020). Non-financial reporting formats in public sector organizations: a structured literature review. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 32(4), 639-669.
10. Meira, J. V. D. S., & Hancer, M. (2021). Using the social exchange theory to explore the employee-organization relationship in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 33(2), 670-692.
11. Meitasir, B. C., Komalasari, A., & Septiyanti, R. (2022). Whistleblowing System and Fraud Prevention: A Literature Review. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 22(18), 23-29.
12. Morgan, H. (2022). Conducting a qualitative document analysis. *The qualitative report*, 27(1), 64-77.
13. Mrowiec, D. (2022). Factors influencing internal whistleblowing. A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Economics and Management*, 44, 142-186.
14. Musa, S.J. and Ibrahim, K.M., 2022. Agency theory and corporate governance: A comparative study of Board diversity and financial performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, pp.10364-10372.
15. Mustafa, R. M., Gumilang, B. A., Suyono, E., Wiratno, A., Purwati, A. S., & Suparlinah, I. (2022). Factors Influencing the Whistleblowing System in the Government of Jakarta Capital Special Region, Indonesia. *Journal of Economics, Finance And Management Studies*, 5(05), 1457-1468.
16. Nicolò, G., Zanellato, G., & Tiron-Tudor, A. (2020). Integrated reporting and European state-owned enterprises: A disclosure analysis pre and post 2014/95/EU. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 1908.
17. Okafor, O. N., Adebisi, F. A., Opara, M., & Okafor, C. B. (2020). Deployment of whistleblowing as an accountability mechanism to curb corruption and fraud in a developing democracy. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 33(6), 1335-1366.
18. Palepu, K. G., Healy, P. M., Wright, S., Bradbury, M., & Coulton, J. (2020). *Business analysis and valuation: Using financial statements*. Cengage AU.

19. Pentina, I., Hancock, T., & Xie, T. (2023). Exploring relationship development with social chatbots: A mixed-method study of replika. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 140, 107600.
20. Petra, S., & Spieler, A. C. (2020). Accounting scandals: Enron, Worldcom, and global crossing. In *Corporate fraud exposed* (pp. 343-360). Emerald Publishing Limited.
21. Pizzi, S., Del Baldo, M., Caputo, F., & Venturelli, A. (2022). Voluntary disclosure of Sustainable Development Goals in mandatory non-financial reports: The moderating role of cultural dimension. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 33(1), 83-106.
22. Pratolo, S., Sadjiman, V. P., & Sofyani, H. (2020). Determinants of whistleblowing intention of employees in universities: Evidence from Indonesia. *Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 92-101.
23. Puryati, D., & Febriani, S. (2020). The consequence of whistleblowing system and internal control toward fraud prevention: A study on Indonesian state owned enterprise. *International Journal of Business and Technology Management*, 2(3), 35-48.
24. Radulović, U. (2023). The Role of Whistleblowing as a Mechanism to Prevent State Capture. *NBP–Nauka, bezbednost, policija*, 28(3), 62-74.
25. Rahman, B., 2020. Implementation of A Whistleblowing System on Fraud Detection at PT JR (Case Study One of State Owned Enterprises in Indonesia). *Dinasti International Journal of Management Science*, 2(2), pp.180-190.
26. Rahmawati, O., Meini, Z. and Digidowiseiso, K., 2023. The Effect of Good Corporate Governance, Internal Audit, And Whistleblowing System on Fraud: Empirical Study on State-Owned Enterprise Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the 2017–2021 Period. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS, MANAGEMENT, BUSINESS, AND SOCIAL SCIENCE (IJEMBS)*, 3(2), pp.779-790.
27. Raimo, N., Vitolla, F., Marrone, A., & Rubino, M. (2021). Do audit committee attributes influence integrated reporting quality? An agency theory viewpoint. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 522-534.
28. Sember, V., Meh, K., Sorić, M., Starc, G., Rocha, P., & Jurak, G. (2020). Validity and reliability of international physical activity questionnaires for adults across EU countries: systematic review and meta analysis. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(19), 7161.
29. Šepec, M., Stajko, J., Avsec, K., Dugar, T., Šuta, Ž., & Žilič Fišer, S. (2020). The European whistleblowing directive: a legislative barrier between journalists and their sources?. *Media, Culture & Society*, 42(7-8), 1528-1544.
30. Setiorini, K. R., & Hartoko, S. (2021). The pentagon fraud theory perspective: understanding of motivation of executives to manipulate with the financial statements of a state-owned enterprise. *Economic Annals-XXI/Ekonomičnij Časopis-XXI*, 194.
31. Shonhadji, N., & Maulidi, A. (2021). The roles of whistleblowing system and fraud awareness as financial statement fraud deterrent. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 37(3), 370-389.
32. Siedlecki, S. L. (2020). Understanding descriptive research designs and methods. *Clinical Nurse Specialist*, 34(1), 8-12.
33. Smaili, N., Vandekerckhove, W., & Arroyo Pardo, P. (2023). Handling whistleblowing reports: The complexity of the double agent. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 186(2), 279-292.
34. Sumiati, A., & Widyastuti, U. (2021). The millennials generation's intention to invest: A modified model of the theory of reasoned action. *International journal of entrepreneurship*, 25(3).
35. Teichmann, F. M., & Falker, M. C. (2021). Whistleblowing incentives. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 28(2), 394-405.
36. Tijow, L. M. (2021). Application of good corporate governance (GCG) in state-owned enterprises.
37. Virag, P. (2021). Control in agile Is development projects: Looking beyond agency theory. *Procedia Computer Science*, 181, 3-14.
38. Vitolla, F., Raimo, N., & Rubino, M. (2020). Board characteristics and integrated reporting quality: An agency theory perspective. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(2), 1152-1163.
39. Xiong, M., Whetsell, T. A., Zhao, J., & Cheng, S. (2021). Centrally administered state-owned enterprises' engagement in China's public-private partnerships: A social network analysis. *Area Development and Policy*, 6(3), 296-318.
40. Yulan, Z., Li, A., Yan, Z., Bai, Y., & Chen, S. (2024). Does employee whistleblowing inhibit corporate pollutant emission. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 91, 103009.