

EVALUATING CRYPTOCURRENCY INTEGRATION IN PORTFOLIO STRATEGIES AND INSTITUTIONAL RISK CONTROLS : A DESKTOP STUDY

Newman Wadesango
University of Limpopo

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the role of cryptocurrencies in enhancing investment portfolio diversification and managing financial risk, with a specific focus on commercial banks operating within the Zimbabwean financial sector. The research is grounded in key financial and behavioral theories, including Principal-Agent Theory, Modern Portfolio Theory, and Prospect Theory, which collectively provide a conceptual framework for analyzing the decision-making dynamics and strategic implications of cryptocurrency investments. The study explores both the theoretical justifications and empirical evidence supporting the integration of digital assets in institutional portfolios. Findings from previous research indicate that cryptocurrencies may serve as effective hedging tools and safe-haven assets due to their relatively low correlation with traditional asset classes. However, challenges such as price volatility, regulatory uncertainty, and liquidity constraints limit their universal applicability. Additionally, commercial banks in Zimbabwe employ various risk management strategies—ranging from portfolio diversification and hedging instruments to thorough due diligence—to mitigate exposure to the inherent risks of cryptocurrency investments. The study concludes that while cryptocurrencies offer notable benefits for risk mitigation and portfolio optimization, their integration requires cautious and well-informed approaches. The research thus contributes to the ongoing discourse on alternative investment strategies in emerging markets and provides practical insights for financial institutions navigating digital asset inclusion.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency Investment, Portfolio Diversification, Risk Management, Commercial Banks In Zimbabwe.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the global financial landscape has witnessed a significant shift with the rise of alternative assets, particularly cryptocurrencies, which have increasingly gained attention as potential tools for portfolio diversification and risk mitigation. Unlike traditional financial instruments such as stocks, bonds, and fiat currencies, cryptocurrencies offer unique features—including decentralization, limited supply, and high liquidity—that make them appealing in times of economic uncertainty. In emerging economies like Zimbabwe, where financial markets face persistent challenges such as inflation, currency instability, and limited investor confidence, the appeal of cryptocurrencies has grown. As commercial banks explore innovative ways to preserve capital and enhance investment performance, the integration of digital assets into portfolio strategies has emerged as a topic of both practical importance and academic interest.

This study aims to investigate the role of cryptocurrencies in enhancing portfolio diversification and managing financial risks within the context of Zimbabwe’s commercial banking sector. It explores the theoretical foundations underpinning cryptocurrency investment, assesses empirical findings on their effectiveness in reducing risk exposure, and examines the risk management strategies adopted by banks dealing with these digital assets. By doing so, the research seeks to provide valuable insights into how financial institutions can responsibly and strategically incorporate cryptocurrencies into their investment frameworks amid a volatile and rapidly evolving financial environment.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual and Theoretical Insights

To frame the role of cryptocurrencies in risk mitigation and portfolio enhancement, this study draws upon three influential theories: Principal-Agent Theory, Efficient Portfolio Construction Theory, and Behavioral Utility Theory. Each theory contributes a unique perspective on investment behavior and risk dynamics in the context of banking institutions.

2.1.1 Principal-Agent Dynamics in Financial Intermediation

The principal-Agent Theory, rooted in economic theory and widely utilized in financial governance, examines the relationship between a party that delegates authority (the principal) and one who executes decisions on their behalf (the agent). In this context, Zimbabwean banks act as intermediaries managing investment assets—including cryptocurrencies—on behalf of depositors or investors. Chiromba (2020) highlights that discrepancies in goals and access to information can lead to conflicts of interest, creating potential inefficiencies. Dong et al. (2019) support this view, arguing that such agency conflicts are especially relevant in cryptocurrency investments due to asymmetric information and self-serving motives of institutional agents. On the other hand, Raimo et al. (2021) and Braun (2021) challenge the sufficiency of agency theory in fully addressing the complexities of digital asset investments, suggesting a need for alternative models.

Complementing this debate, Alfianto and Nugroho (2020) stress the necessity of institutional transparency, performance monitoring, and reporting frameworks to align agent and principal interests. Triki and Maatoung (2021) also emphasize trust and open communication as critical to mitigating agency-related risks in cryptocurrency investment strategies. Thus, while the principal-Agent Theory provides foundational insight, it must be considered alongside other institutional and behavioral factors to understand investment behaviors in Zimbabwean banks.

2.1.2 Portfolio Optimization and Asset Diversification Theory

The Efficient Portfolio Construction Theory, popularly known as Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), introduced by Markowitz in the 1950s, proposes that investors can achieve optimal returns by diversifying assets to balance risk and reward. As Dimmock et al. (2023) point out, this model assumes investors aim to reduce risk without sacrificing returns. Cryptocurrencies, when added to a well-diversified portfolio, can serve as low-correlation assets, thereby enhancing portfolio efficiency (Rahmani, 2024). This is particularly valuable in unpredictable economic environments like Zimbabwe's. However, some assumptions of MPT—such as stable asset correlations and normal return distributions—have been challenged by critics like Stewart et al. (2019) and Kevin (2022), who suggest that real-world markets are too dynamic for such assumptions to hold consistently. Despite its limitations, MPT remains foundational in financial planning, influencing strategies like mean-variance optimization and the development of passive investment vehicles (Platanakis & Urquhart, 2020). Additionally, related models like the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT), and multi-factor models have extended MPT's core principles to accommodate broader risk considerations (Koumou, 2020; Saksonova & Merlino, 2019). In practice, MPT supports the inclusion of cryptocurrencies as a tool to reduce volatility and enhance resilience in banking and individual portfolios, particularly in uncertain or inflation-prone economies.

2.1.3 Behavioural Perspectives on Risk: Prospect Theory

Prospect Theory, introduced by Kahneman and Tversky, offers a behavioral framework that contrasts with traditional rational investor models. It posits that individuals evaluate investment decisions based on perceived gains or losses relative to a reference point—not absolute outcomes—and tend to avoid losses more strongly than they seek equivalent gains. This framework is relevant to understanding investment in cryptocurrencies, especially in contexts marked by economic instability. Investors may favour digital assets during downturns as a hedge against losses rather than for potential profit (Oehmke, 2023). Ruggeri et al. (2020) emphasize Prospect Theory's strength in explaining real-world decision-making under uncertainty, in contrast to models based solely on rationality.

However, other scholars, like Wang et al. (2020) and Xiao (2020), argue that the predictive power of Prospect Theory may be limited due to its experimental foundations. They advocate for frameworks that also consider contextual variables, investor profiles, and macroeconomic influences. Still, researchers such as Werner and Zank (2019) acknowledge that while not exhaustive, Prospect Theory provides a valuable complement to other financial models, especially in understanding investor behavior in times of market stress.

2.2 *Synthesis of Empirical Findings*

This section explores empirical evidence related to the study's core themes: the practical benefits and challenges of cryptocurrency investments, the role of such assets in managing portfolio risk, and how commercial banks handle related risks.

2.2.1 *Rewards and Limitations of Cryptocurrency Investment*

Cryptocurrencies are often viewed as hedges against traditional financial risks, particularly in hyperinflationary economies. Hernandez and Janabi (2020) found that digital currencies can retain purchasing power and serve as a buffer against devaluation. Cepni et al. (2022) also noted that such assets exhibit low correlation with conventional investments, thereby contributing to diversification. However, challenges exist. Sikiru and Salisu (2021) flagged volatility and regulatory uncertainty as key barriers. Huynh (2020) emphasized that cryptocurrency values are influenced by investor sentiment, regulatory news, and global trends, making them highly reactive. Conversely, Huang et al. (2022) noted that some investors still perceive cryptocurrencies as stable long-term stores of value, particularly when compared to unstable fiat currencies. Other studies (Chen et al., 2023) highlight psychological factors—such as perceived control and asset tangibility—which influence investor confidence. Cultural, legal, and infrastructural differences across regions (Ahmed et al., 2022) further shape the adoption and management of cryptocurrencies as part of diversified portfolios.

2.2.2 *Digital Assets in Portfolio Diversification and Risk Adjustment*

Many empirical analyses support the use of cryptocurrencies as strategic tools for risk management and diversification. Soja (2019) and Lin et al. (2021) argue that digital assets provide effective hedging due to their limited correlation with equities and bonds. Eskandari et al. (2019) suggest that during market shocks, cryptocurrencies may serve as alternative safe-haven assets. Pho et al. (2021) propose that investors seeking protection against fiat devaluation or market turbulence may favor cryptocurrencies for their decentralized and borderless nature. McCathy (2019) concurs, suggesting that cryptocurrencies appeal to those emphasizing capital preservation. Various instruments exist for cryptocurrency exposure, from direct wallet ownership to exchange-traded products or blockchain-based tokens (Lamine et al., 2023; Matinhire, 2020). Each mode has unique implications for risk, return, and access. However, Rehman and Vo (2020) caution that findings differ significantly across contexts, and the risk-return profile of cryptocurrencies may not remain constant. Hence, investor awareness and ongoing research are essential for integrating digital assets into effective portfolio strategies.

2.2.3 *Institutional Risk Strategies for Cryptocurrency Exposure*

Banks exploring cryptocurrency investments adopt a range of risk management techniques. Elamer et al. (2020) highlight that diversification is widely used to minimize concentration risk. Ekinçi and Poyraz (2019) also discuss hedging through derivative contracts as a common approach to stabilize digital asset exposure. Due diligence is especially critical. Leo et al. (2019) emphasize the importance of verifying digital asset sources, ensuring regulatory compliance, and securing digital wallets. Authentication and custody risks must be proactively managed.

Challenges remain, particularly concerning market volatility. Aziz and Dowling (2019) suggest that price swings in cryptocurrencies can significantly affect balance sheet stability, even with controls in place. Kobrin (2022) adds that market liquidity and transaction processing speeds are also concerns, making real-time liquidity management essential for institutions. Overall, risk management strategies surrounding cryptocurrencies demand

agility, technological infrastructure, and regulatory awareness—especially in evolving financial environments like Zimbabwe's.

3. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study highlights the emerging significance of cryptocurrencies as alternative investment instruments capable of enhancing portfolio diversification and improving risk management strategies, particularly within Zimbabwe's commercial banking sector. While theoretical and empirical evidence supports the integration of digital assets due to their low correlation with traditional financial instruments and potential as inflation hedges, their adoption is not without challenges. Issues such as price volatility, regulatory uncertainty, and liquidity constraints require banks to adopt robust risk management frameworks and conduct thorough due diligence. Despite these limitations, the strategic inclusion of cryptocurrencies presents an opportunity for financial institutions to build more resilient investment portfolios. As Zimbabwe continues to navigate economic instability, the exploration of such alternative assets could prove crucial for financial innovation and long-term stability in the banking sector.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas, Z., Ahmad, A. R. B., Shahbaz, M. S., & Asad, M. M. (2021). Relationship between government and public sector universities in the perspective of agency theory: a brief review. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, 40(2), 188-202.
2. Ahmed, R., Chaudhry, S. M., Kumpamool, C., & Benjasak, C. (2022). Tail risk, systemic risk and spillover risk of crude oil and precious metals. *Energy Economics*, 112, 106063..
3. Alfianto, A. N., & Nugroho, A. P. (2020, October). The impact of Islamic finance knowledge and religiosity on gold investment behavior: an extended of the theory of planned behavior. In *ICBAE 2020: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Business, Accounting and Economics* (p. 64). Purwokerto, Indonesia: European Alliance for Innovation.
4. Ambos, T. C., Niemand, T., & Kraus, S. (2023). Subsidiary managers' initiative pursuit: A behavioral agency model. *Journal of International Management*, 29(3), 101026.
5. Arreola Hernandez, J., & Al Janabi, M. A. (2020). Forecasting of dependence, market, and investment risks of a global index portfolio. *Journal of Forecasting*, 39(3), 512-532.
6. Aziz, S., & Dowling, M. (2019). Machine learning and AI for risk management. *Disrupting finance*, 33-50.
7. Bastian-Pinto, C. L., Araujo, F. V. D. S., Brandão, L. E., & Gomes, L. L. (2021). Hedging renewable energy investments with Bitcoin mining. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 138, 110520.
8. Braun, B. (2021). Asset manager capitalism as a corporate governance regime. *The American political economy: Politics, markets, and power*, 270.
9. Bogdan, S., Bareša, S., & Čurčić, S. (2019). Diversification benefits of gold and other precious metals in an investment portfolio. In *International Conference on Administration Management and Social Studies (ICAMSS 2019)* (pp. 21-31).
10. Brabenec, T., Suler, P., Horák, J., & Petras, M. (2020). Prediction of the Future Development of Gold Price. *Acta Montanistica Slovaca*, 25(2).
11. Chakrabarty, A.K., an empirical study on relationship between bullion market and share market in the post demonetisation period.
12. Chen, J., Wang, Y., & Ren, X. (2023). Asymmetric effect of financial stress on China's precious metals market: Evidence from a quantile-on-quantile regression. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 64, 101831.
13. Dimmock, S.G., Wang, N. and Yang, J., 2023. The endowment model and modern portfolio theory. *Management Science*.
14. Ekinci, R., & Poyraz, G. (2019). The effect of credit risk on financial performance of deposit banks in Turkey. *Procedia computer science*, 158, 979-987.
15. Elamer, A. A., Ntim, C. G., & Abdou, H. A. (2020). Islamic governance, national governance, and bank risk management and disclosure in MENA countries. *Business & Society*, 59(5), 914-955.
16. Eskandari, M., Saeedi, A., & Fallah, M. (2019). The effect of Gold on Portfolio Diversification: The case of indexed portfolios from Tehran Stock Exchange. *Journal of Financial Management Perspective*, 9(27), 81-107.
17. Feldstein, M., 2023. Is Gold a Good Hedge? *Project Syndicate*.
18. Fraser, A., & Fiedler, T. (2023). Net-zero targets for investment portfolios: An analysis of financed emissions metrics. *Energy Economics*, 126, 106917.
19. Green, G. A., Ishida, K., Hampshire, B. V., Butcher, K., Pollard, A. M., & Hillier, A. D. (2021). Understanding Roman gold coinage inside out. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 134, 105470.

20. Hong, B., Luo, T., & Lei, X. (2020). Late-stage diversification of natural products. *ACS central science*, 6(5), 622-635.
21. Huang, J., Cao, Y., & Zhong, P. (2022). Searching for a safe haven to crude oil: green bond or precious metals?. *Finance Research Letters*, 50, 103303.
22. Huy, D. T. N., Thach, N. N., Chuyen, B. M., Nhung, P. T. H., Tran, D. T., & Tran, T. A. (2021). Enhancing risk management culture for sustainable growth of Asia commercial bank-ACB in Vietnam under mixed effects of macro factors. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 8(3), 291.
23. Huynh, T. L. D. (2020). The effect of uncertainty on the precious metals market: New insights from Transfer Entropy and Neural Network VAR. *Resources Policy*, 66, 101623.
24. Kevin, S. (2022). *Security analysis and portfolio management*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd..
25. Kobrin, S. J. (2022). *Managing political risk assessment: Strategic response to environmental change*. Univ of California Press.
26. Koumou, G. B. (2020). Diversification and portfolio theory: a review. *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management*, 34(3), 267-312.
27. Lamine, A., Jeribi, A., & Fakhfakh, T. (2024). Spillovers between cryptocurrencies, gold and stock markets: implication for hedging strategies and portfolio diversification under the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 29(57), 21-41.
28. Leo, M., Sharma, S., & Maddulety, K. (2019). Machine learning in banking risk management: A literature review. *Risks*, 7(1), 29.
29. Lin, F. L., Yang, S. Y., & Chen, Y. F. (2021). Gold in Portfolio: A Long-Term or Short-Term Diversifier?. In *Handbook of Financial Econometrics, Mathematics, Statistics, and Machine Learning* (pp. 199-223).
30. Matanhire, C. (2020). *Exploring the global value chain of gold beneficiation in Zimbabwe* (Master's thesis, University of Pretoria (South Africa)).
31. Naeem, M. A., Karim, S., & Tiwari, A. K. (2023). Risk connectedness between green and conventional assets with portfolio implications. *Computational Economics*, 62(2), 609-637.
32. Nkrumah-Boadu, B., Owusu Junior, P., Adam, A., & Asafo-Adjei, E. (2022). Safe haven, hedge and diversification for African stocks: cryptocurrencies versus gold in time-frequency perspective. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 10(1), 2114171.
33. Nyamunda, T. (2021). Gold, currency and stamps: The rejected plans for a state and public bank in early colonial Zimbabwe (1896–1907). In *Monetary transitions: Currencies, colonialism and African societies* (pp. 107-137). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
34. O'Callaghan, M. G. IV. Bullion Markets, Trading, and Price Setting. In *The Structure and Operation of the World Gold Market*. International Monetary Fund.
35. Oehmke, M., & Opp, M. M. (2025). A theory of socially responsible investment. *Review of Economic Studies*, 92(2), 1193-1225.
36. Panwar, K., Yadav, M. P., & Puri, N. (2025). Spillover effect of green bond with metal and bullion market. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets*, 32(1), 1-18.
37. Pho, K. H., Ly, S., Lu, R., Van Hoang, T. H., & Wong, W. K. (2021). Is Bitcoin a better portfolio diversifier than gold? A copula and sectoral analysis for China. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 74, 101674.
38. Pydipati, M. (2023). Exploring the Viability of Gold Jewelry as a Diversifying and Safe-Haven Investment.
39. Qarni, M. O., & Gulzar, S. (2021). Portfolio diversification benefits of alternative currency investment in Bitcoin and foreign exchange markets. *Financial Innovation*, 7(1), 17.
40. Raimo, N., Ricciardelli, A., Rubino, M., & Vitolla, F. (2020). Factors affecting human capital disclosure in an integrated reporting perspective. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 24(4), 575-592.
41. Rahmani, M. (2024). Modern portfolio theory and its applications in information retrieval. *Iranian Journal of Information Processing and Management*, 39(3), 865-885.
42. Rajwani, S., Tiwari, A. K., Yadav, M. P., & Sharma, S. (2023). Dynamic linkages of energy commodities with bullion and metal market: evidence of portfolio hedging. *American Business Review*, 26(1), 8.
43. Rehman, M. U., & Vo, X. V. (2020). Cryptocurrencies and precious metals: a closer look from diversification perspective. *Resources Policy*, 66, 101652.
44. Ruggeri, K., Alí, S., Berge, M. L., Bertoldo, G., Bjørndal, L. D., Cortijos-Bernabeu, A., ... & Folke, T. (2020). Replicating patterns of prospect theory for decision under risk. *Nature human behaviour*, 4(6), 622-633.
45. Saksonova, S., & Kuzmina-Merlino, I. (2019). Cryptocurrency as an investment instrument in a modern financial market. *Вестник Санкт-Петербургского университета. Экономика*, 35(2), 269-282.
46. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students*. Pearson education.
47. Shahzad, S. J. H., Bouri, E., Roubaud, D., & Kristoufek, L. (2020). Safe haven, hedge and diversification for G7 stock markets: Gold versus bitcoin. *Economic Modelling*, 87, 212-224.
48. Stewart, S. D., Piros, C. D., & Heisler, J. C. (2019). *Portfolio management: theory and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.

49. Šoja, T. (2019). Gold in investment portfolio from perspective of European investor. *The European journal of applied economics*, 16(1).
50. Solomon, S. J., Bendickson, J. S., Marvel, M. R., McDowell, W. C., & Mahto, R. (2021). Agency theory and entrepreneurship: A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 466-476.
51. Symitsi, E., & Chalvatzis, K. J. (2019). The economic value of Bitcoin: A portfolio analysis of currencies, gold, oil and stocks. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 48, 97-110.
52. Triki, M. B., & Maatoug, A. B. (2021). The GOLD market as a safe haven against the stock market uncertainty: Evidence from geopolitical risk. *Resources Policy*, 70, 101872.
53. Wang, T., Li, H., Zhou, X., Huang, B., & Zhu, H. (2020). A prospect theory-based three-way decision model. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 203, 106129.
54. Werner, K. M., & Zank, H. (2019). A revealed reference point for prospect theory. *Economic Theory*, 67(4), 731-773.
55. Xiao, F. (2020). Evidence combination based on prospect theory for multi-sensor data fusion. *ISA transactions*, 106, 253-261.
56. Yan, L., Hong, K., Chen, K., Li, H., & Liao, L. (2021). Benefit distribution of collectively-owned operating construction land entering the market in rural China: A multiple principal-agent theory-based analysis. *Habitat International*, 109, 102328.